UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division

FEB 2 2012

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

-vs-

Case No. 1:96-cr-227

KURT S. HANEIPH,

Defendant.

ORIGINAL

SENTENCING HEARING

November 15, 1996

Before: Claude M. Hilton, Judge

APPEARANCES:

W. Neil Hammerstrom, Jr., Counsel for the United States
Ronald A. Ray, Counsel for the Defendant
The Defendant, Kurt S. Haneiph, in person



1 THE CLERK: Criminal action 96-227-A, the United 2 States of America versus Kurt Shawn Haneiph. This matter is on 3 for sentencing. Are counsel present and ready, please? 4 5 MR. HAMMERSTROM: Neil Hammerstrom for the United 6 States. 7 MR. RAY: Good morning, Your Honor. Ronald Ray for 8 the defendant, Mr. Haneiph. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Ray, have you and your client had an 10 opportunity to review this presentence report? 11 MR. RAY: Yes, we have, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Any corrections you wish to make to it? MR. RAY: No corrections, Your Honor. There are 13 14 factors that are disputed, and I submitted a memorandum on Insofar as the acceptance of responsibility, the 15 defendant would rest on the written memorandums. I don't have 16 17 any further argument on that regard. 18 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything you want to 19 say at this time? MR. RAY: Your Honor, I would also just ask that the 20 21 Court give the defendant a three-month departure from the 22 Guideline range given the circumstance of this case where he 23 was in custody in the Fairfax County Jail from January 6 until 24 April 5 when he was brought before this Court on these charge, on a charge that was out of the same facts. That prosecution 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in Fairfax County was nol prossed when the federal prosecution began. And I think that he should be given some credit for that time spent because, essentially, it is for this offense. Other than that, I would just ask the Court to impose the minimum under the Guideline range that the Court finds appropriate. THE COURT: All right. Is there anything you want to say, Mr. Hammerstrom. MR. HAMMERSTROM: The Government has no objection to the three-month credit, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. All right, Mr. Haneiph, would you come forward. Is there anything you would like to say at this time? THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: All right. Well, I find the Guideline factors to be properly assessed, as the Probation officer has assessed them, at a range of 360 months to life. That because of the financial condition of the defendant, the imposition of any fine or costs is not warranted. It will be the sentence of the Court, Mr. Haneiph, that as to Count 1, you be committed to the custody of the Attorney General to serve a term of 360 months, a ten-year

period of supervised release, and pay a special assessment fine of \$50.

And as to Counts 4 and 5, as to each of those counts,

4